

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,879	12/30/2004	Anthony Devasia Joseph	13473.0006USWO	7399
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 02/05/2007 & GOULD PC	EXAMINER WINSTON, RANDALL O		
P.O. BOX 2903	S, MN 55402-0903			
MINNEAFOLI	5, MIN 33402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1655	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS 02/05/2007 PAPER				ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

<u> </u>			Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
			10/519,879	JOSEPH, ANTH	JOSEPH, ANTHONY DEVASIA		
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit			
			Randall Winston	1655			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this community or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence	address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIDE OF THE	MAILING DA is of 37 CFR 1.130 imunication. statutory period wi by will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS COMMUN 6(a). In no event, however, may a ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•	•			•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 30 De	cember 2004.				
2a)∏			action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition	,—		tters, prosecution as to t	he merits is		
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •	n from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or el	lection requirement.				
	on Papers		<b>4</b> -0-0-0-0				
	·						
	The specification is objected to by the			– .			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are						
	Applicant may not request that any obje			, ,			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · · · · ·	• •		
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents	have been received in a	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priorit	ty documents have beer	n received in this Nationa	al Stage		
	application from the Internation	onal Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	f the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachmen	We)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		A) \[ \begin{align*} 1.1.1.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2	Summany (DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (FTO-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	•	5) D Notice of	Informal Patent Application			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date		6)	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 1655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-7, drawn to an ayurvedic nutricinal preparation, classified in class 424, subclass 754, for example
- II. Claims 8-11, drawn to a process of preparing a medicinal preparation, classified in class 424, subclass 756, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct from each other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and a process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process of producing an ayurvedic preparation such as in Patent Application No. 1184/MUM/2001 (i.e. see e.g. applicant's specification on page 1 lines 8-15)
- 3. The several inventions above are independent and distinct, each from the other. They have acquired a separate status in the art as separate subject for inventive effect and require independent searches. The search for each of the above inventions is not co-extensive particularly with regard to the literature search. Further, a reference which would anticipate the invention of one group would not necessarily anticipate or even

make obvious another group. Finally the consideration for patentability is different in each case. Thus, it would be an undue burden to examine all the above inventions in one application.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

In Group I, the claimed species are from the Markush of claim 2:

a) Semecarpous Anacardium Linn b) Anacardium Occidenatale Linn

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species from the list of a-b thereof above for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which a written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a)

Application/Control Number: 10/519,879

Art Unit: 1655

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be completed must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirements be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Please note, the examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process

Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. **Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.**Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randall Winston whose telephone number is 571-272-0972. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,879

Art Unit: 1655

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MICHELE FLOOD
PRIMARY EXAMINER